PLANNING COMMITTEE UPDATE SHEET

COMMITTEE DATE: 6 September 2023

| APPLICATION NO. | TEAM LEADER | ITEM NO. | PAGE NOS. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------|-----------|
| 23/00470/FUL | Karl Glover | 02 | 41-52 |

ADDITIONAL CONSULTEE RESPONSES RECEIVED

WBC HEAD OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH AND COMMUNITY SAFETY (ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION - AMENITY)

Since the publication of the Planning Committee Agenda a written response has been received from the Councils Environmental Health Officer. The Officer has raised no objections and stated that as the property is currently in residential use there is no requirement for the submission of an acoustic noise assessment to be submitted. The Officer does go on to state that any changes made to the property whilst it is being renovated, specifically changes made to glazing units or alternative means of ventilation, should be replaced with a like for like product, or better. Also, any fixed flood lighting should be designed such that it is not intrusive to nearby sensitive premises.

Officer Response: The consultee comments reinforce the position that the change of use would not cause significant additional harm to neighbouring amenity above that of the existing dwelling. It is not considered reasonable to condition the requirements for renovation changes. There is no suggestion in the submitted documents that any flood lighting would be added to the site. No further information is necessary.

ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Since the publication of the Planning Committee Agenda an email has been received from the applicant stating that the upper age limit of the children would be 17, as any 18 year olds (or older) would be required to move to adult services if still in care. The Committee Report and condition 5 relating to the number of children specify that the upper age would be 18.

Officer Response: It is not considered necessary to change condition 5 which relates to the age of the children (from being between the ages of 6-18) as his does not change the nature of the proposal. The ages currently restricted by the condition are considered to be acceptable.